Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement (EIS)

Kansas D Agency	epartment o	of Revenue	Taylor Murray Agency Contact	Co	785-296-6093 ontact Phone Number
92-28-1, 92-28-2, 92-28-3, and 92-28-4 K.A.R. Number(s)				⊠ Permanent	☐ Temporary
	-	oposed rule(s) and regulation(s) r r implementing a federally subsid		_	as a requirement
□ Yes	in the revi	yes, continue to fill out the remaining form to be included with the regulation packet submitted the review process to the Department of Administration and the Attorney General. Budget proval is not required; however, the Division of the Budget will require submission of a copy the EIS at the end of the review process.			
⊠ No	regulation or incurred b of the prop	the total annual implementation (s), calculated from the effective more in implementation and copy or passed along to businesses, posed rule and regulation over the regulation (s) (as calculated in S)	e date of the rule(sompliance costs the local governmentate initial five-year p	s) and regulation at are reasonably l units and indivi	(s), exceed \$1.0 expected to be duals as a result
	□ Yes	If "Yes," then the agency shall rand regulation(s) has been ratification rule(s) and regulation(s) are: 1) are for participating in or implemed described in K.S.A. 77-416(b)(and regulation(s) adopted pursurules and regulations adopted Remediation Board). Continue the regulation packet in the review the Attorney General. The subdivision of the Budget for apprenticular and regulation of the Budget for apprenticular subdivision subdivision of the Budget for apprenticular subdivision s	ed by the Legislature mandated by the federally sure a federally sure and to K.S.A. 77-72 pursuant to K.S.A. to fill out the remains ew process to the Editional federal benitted EIS will be	re with a bill, unlederal government absidized or assistents thereto; 2) to 22, and amendment A. 2-3710 (Kansing EIS form to Department of Ad	ess the proposed as a requirement sted program, as emporary rule(s) nts thereto; or 3) sas Agricultural be included with ministration and
	⊠ No	If no, continue to fill out the packet submitted in the review Attorney General. The submitt for approval.	process to the Depa	artment of Admin	istration and the
DOB APPROVA	AL STAMP				

Section I

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

The Department of Revenue proposes revoking four unnecessary regulations: K.A.R. 92-28-1 through 92-28-4. K.A.R. 92-28-1 through 92-28-4 implement K.S.A. 79-34,170 through 79-34,175, which provide an incentive for selling or dispensing renewable fuels or biodiesel. K.S.A. 79-34,170 through 79-34,175 expire on January 1, 2026, pursuant to K.S.A. 79-34,176. K.S.A. 79-34,171 establishes a budgetary fund to pay out the incentive, but no money was ever transferred to this fund.

Section II

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

These regulations are not mandated by federal law.

Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

There is no anticipated effect on business activities and growth based on these revocations.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;

There is no anticipated economic effect based on these revocations.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);

There are no businesses that would be affected by the revocation of these regulations.

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

Revoking these regulations is estimated to have \$0 annual cost. The public benefits from removing unneeded regulations.

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

No measures were taken to minimize the cost and impact because revoking these regulations is estimated to have \$0 annual cost

nave 50 annua
DOB APPROVAL STAMP

to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. Note: Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation and compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred and shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals
individuals.

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0.00

Costs to Local Governmental Units – \$0.00

Costs to Individuals – \$0.00

Total Annual Costs – \$0.00

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

No businesses are able to participate in this program and the statutes authorizing the program expire on January 1, 2026. Revoking these regulations is estimated to have \$0 annual cost.

☐ Yes	If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in
□ No	implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following
	adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred
\boxtimes Not	by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result
Applicable	of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that
	the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving
	legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in
	attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

Revoking these regulations will have no impact to state revenues and expenditures for the current and future fiscal year.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

No individuals, small employers, or members of the general public are able to participate in this program and the statutes authorizing the program expire on January 1, 2026. Revoking these regulations will have no immediate or long-range economic impact on individuals, small employers, or the general public.

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

Because revoking these regulations will result in no changes to revenues or expenditures of cities, counties, or school districts and impose no additional functions or responsibilities on cities, counties, or school districts, the Department of Revenue did not consult with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.

Because revoking these regulations will result in no changes for businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, institutions or members of the public, the Department of Revenue did not consult with or solicit information from these entities.

Sectio	n IV	
Does th	ne Economic Ir	mpact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
□ Yes ⊠ No	•	plete the remainder of Section IV. the remainder of Section IV.
A.		apital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and s or entities who would bear the costs.
	N/A	
В.	regulation(s),	initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.
	N/A	
DOB APPR	OVAL STAMP	

N/A	
	iled statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.
ROVAL STAMP	
- · · · - - · · · · · · ·	

Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt

C.

the rule(s) and regulation(s).